Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/689,660	TSCHIRKY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tiffany A. Fetzner	2859
All Participants:	Status of Applica	tion: <u>Amended</u>
(1) <u>Tiffany A. Fetzner</u> .	(3)	
(2) Attorney Paul Vincent Reg. No. 37,461.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 May 2005	Time: <u>9am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:  Claims 1-20 regarding grammatical corrections, and posit respec to applicant's apparatus claims.	ive recitation of elements to rea	move any intended use concerns with
Prior art documents discussed:  None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WI	HAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide and the pro</li></ul>	on. The examiner will provio	le a written summary of the substance ce of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Represe	ntative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's attorney to request a telephonic interview in order to resolve formal matters. The applicant's representative telephoned the examiner on May 11th 2005 and numerous formal matters, and grammatical concerns were resolved. The applicant's attorney agreed to the examiner's correction to claims 1, 3-7, 10-13, 15, 17, 19 and 20 by examiner's amendment in order to move the application towards allowance. The dependent claims were amended to positively recite the limituations set forth and remove any intended use concern. Support for all of the examiner amendments is found within the original specification and shown within the original figures. No new matter was added by the examiner's amendment. Independent claim 1 was amended to clarify that the location of the applicant's "at least one groove is "externally on" an outer surface of said sample brushing" which corrects the grammar of the recitation and is fully supported by applicant's figures. Proper antecedent basis was also corrected in examiner amended claim 1.

The applicant's representative was also informed that the proposed informal drawing corrections submitted 03/14/2005 in response to the official draftsperson's objections from the last office action, are not acceptable as formal drawings, since they are informal and show the corrections which still must be made to the drawings. Applicant agreed to submit New Formal drawings with the proper corrections made, after an indication of a Notice of Allowance. The examiner informed applicant that the application could be held ABANDONED if applicant fails to submit new FORMAL DRAWINGS showing the proposed corrections of MArch 14th 2005. The attorney indicated that he understood and thanked the examiner for her time, in resolving the remaining formal matters to move the application forward towards allowance.